

PALA - PAUMA SPONSOR GROUP
P.O. Box 1273
Pauma Valley, CA 92061
Phone: 760-742-0426

REGULAR MEETING
APPROVED MINUTES OF AUGUST 2, 2011

Page 1 of 3

Date: August 2, 2011

Time: 7:02 PM

Place: Pauma Valley Community Center
16650 Hwy. 76
Pauma Valley, Ca. 92061

1. CALL TO ORDER, ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

- a. Roll Call. All 6 Group Members present, with Jim arriving just after approval of the minutes: Thomas Mc Andrews, Chairman; Andy Mathews, Vice Chairman; Fritz Stumpges, Secretary; John Ljubenkoy; Jim Beezhold; and Bill Winn.
- b. Meeting called to order 7:02 PM and a quorum was established.
- c. Approval of Minutes: The minutes for July had been circulated to all members prior to the meeting and there were only two minor corrections that had been incorporated. With no or further discussion, Andy moved to approve the July minutes, Bill made the second and the minutes were approved 5-0 with Jim arriving just after the vote.
- d. Operating Expenses: Fritz had given Tom an invoice and County form for payment of last years' monthly rent through December 2010. The \$35/month x 12 months equaled \$420. John made the motion to accept this payment and submit it to the county, Bill made the second. There was further discussion and it was agreed that the community center should bill more promptly at every 6 months. Fritz, also on the Community Center Board agreed and said that he would then bill immediately for the 1st half of the current year. The motion was repeated and approved 6-0. Tom also submitted a bill for \$56 for the mileage allowance for his 105 mile trip to the county steering committee meeting he attended during July. Charles moved to pay, Fritz seconded it and it was passed 6-0.
- e. Community Forum: There were no comments from the crowd at this point.

2. DISCUSSION ITEMS (No PPSG vote is to be taken on the following items.)

- a. Request for applicants to serve as Pala / Pauma Sponsor Group members to fill an existing vacancy. Applicants must be registered voters and reside within the Pala Pauma Region. If interested, they should call Tom Mc Andrews at 760-742-0426. We had a local resident, Ron Barbanell present and ready to make his application tonight.
- b. Tom announced that Cheryl's annual planning/sponsor group training was not sufficient to meet the required state ethics bi-annual training course. Tom reported that that Fritz and Jim need to complete their state mandated ethics training prior to our next meeting.
- c. **ACTION ITEM. ADVISORY VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:** Tom Mc Andrews requested to move up Action Item A to this point since it is a simple request and there are other issues that will take more time. There were no objections and it was moved. Jill Cleveland, representative for Verizon Wireless presented its new plan to add two additional new antennas and convert the previous two older antennas to the new style, all on the same old 30' hi monopole. Being a minor modification, fitting within the old envelope and not being a new installation there were no objections to the LTE improved service. Charles moved to approve, Jim seconded and it was approved 6-0

- d. Agenda Item 7A: Mr. Mike Fitzsimons has found a local resident who is interested in becoming a member of our group. He helped Mr. Ron Barbanell to make contact with Tom. Mr. Barbanell made a short statement as to his interest and gave brief list of qualifications he feels make him a potential candidate. He fielded several questions from group members, submitted his written application and he, his wife and son stayed around for the meeting and visited with many of us. We look forward to his return next month when we will then vote as to whether or not to forward his application to Mr. Horn for official membership.
- e. Agenda Item 7b: Gregory Canyon Landfill update from John. Cal Recycle officially approved their application; but the Army Corps Of Engineers has delayed their official response 18 months. Tom mentioned that Oceanside has done an about face with their past opposition to the landfill and now is saying that they opposed Senate Bill 833 which would stop the dump.
- f. Agenda Item 7C: Tom briefed us about his trip to the County DPLU steering committee update on July 23rd. He brought copies of the Land Use Agenda that will be used for the upcoming meeting at which the board of supervisors will vote to approve the new GPU. Staff pointed out a couple of last minute changes and deletions that the BOS wanted made to some of their policies. The first change was to be added to the new central policy of the GPU, that of Prohibiting Leap Frog Development which is inconsistent with the Community Development Models and Community Plans. The BOS wanted to delete "Community Plans" and add an exception for using not only LEED- Neighborhood Development Certified plans but some possible unknown equivalent. This is another power grab by the BOS and again limits Community Planning Group input. The planning groups voted 22-0 against these proposed changes. Staff will tell the BOS this prior to their August 3rd vote. The next BOS directed change was to the limits on Sewer Facilities. It would add another exception to the currently stated "Prohibit sewer facilities that would induce unplanned growth. This clear statement is far to direct for them and they added another exception to allow unplanned growth, "When necessary for a conservation subdivision adjacent to existing sewer facilities." There were no objections from the steering committee on this one. Two policies that the BOS directed to be deleted were LU-1.2 which would have allowed only changes initiated by General Plan Updates to be made to the Regional Categories Map; and LU-1.3 which would have required approval from the Board of Supervisors to initiate General Plan Amendments for private projects outside of a comprehensive GPU. This would have been a run-around local planning groups again and its removal was supported also by 22-0. Tom mentioned 4 more New Draft Policies which were to be added to the GPU and gave us copies to read. He did elaborate on LU-2.2 on the relationship of Community Plans to the General Plan with some concern as to the perceived lessening of Planning Groups relevance in determining county planning. Tom mentioned that tomorrow the board will finally vote on the new GPU that has been in the works for 13 years! We also talked about the new Single Family Design Guidelines. The consulting group making up this policy regarding subdivisions, will make presentations to the local planning groups in a couple of months. We can still give our comments to the consultants. There are many important considerations we need to become aware of so that we can have meaningful input. Tom thanked Andy for his timely input concerning the questionnaire that Tom forwarded to the group for their comments/input about future subdivision guidelines..
- g. Agenda Item 7d. The Marine Corps Interview with consultants Marsdel Day will take place next Tuesday, August 9, at 1 PM in Tom's office. They are open to input to improve communications with military bases and their neighbors especially in regards to their new EIR.
- h. Final Discussion Agenda Item 7e. concerning Rancho Heights. Andy has met with some of the effected land owners and listened to their concerns. He stated that several of them were definitely civil matters however there were two areas which we have input. The first is to do with safety concerns over the blocking of fire access roads and the second is about road maintenance within the community and specifically with private road maintenance agreements they have with the county where it has the right to intervene to enforce the agreements but not an obligation to do so.

Andy suggested that we seek advice from county council to determine what authority, relevant issues, and appropriate courses of action we could take. Several other group members agreed. Tom restated the applicable county agreements and quoted the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Chief Robertson, who agrees with the concerns of the residents' concerns and has made recommendations but he has said that he has no authority over the situation. We listened to very relevant resident complaints about county inaction, major monetary losses in court/attorney fees and land value losses. Tom restated our desire to address issues that are within our jurisdiction and we will petition county council for advice. Motion was made by Tom, seconded by Bill and passed unanimously 6-0.

III. ACTION ITEMS (PPSG ADVISORY VOTE MAY BE TAKEN ON THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

- a. Item 8a: As a courtesy, we had agreed to hear Verizon's request earlier in the meeting. See 2c.
- b. Item 8b: Tom talked about the letter he had submitted about opposing adding Warner Ranch as a SSA in our region. He thought that it would be better if we had a discussion about it again with new understandings we recently received. We use to be in opposition to having it added as an SSA because of our previous understanding that it would circumvent our review. Now we understand that the project would have to go through a much more thorough review, and it would include us. The previous General Plan Amendment allowing 2.5 du would have to be reviewed. Andy made an astute observation that the issue of traffic was left off of the SSA and we agreed that it should be added to the review items. John moved to have us approving of the SSA designation and including our desire to add traffic to the list of major concerns, Bill seconded it and the motion passed 6-0.

IV. ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:49.

Fritz Stumpges, Secretary

These minutes were approved at the September 6, 2011 meeting.
Andy moved, Bill Second, approved 6-0.